

Revista Eletrônica Científica da UERGS

Ethical guidelines

The *Revista Eletrônica Científica da UERGS* publishes original manuscripts, however it reserves the right to not publish scientific texts that attack any political, ideological, racial/ethnic, gender, religious or environmental position.

Authors, editors, reviewers and evaluators of the journal must compromise to maintain good ethical practices. They have to observe the submission requirements, the layout adequacy and the standards adopted by the journal as well as the linguistic adequacy of the texts.

The journal does not tolerate misconducts (forgery or fabrication of data and images; partial or total copy of an author's own text or from third parties that are unauthorized or that are inadequately referenced). The journal will use softwares to implement a first search for similarities with other publications. Therefore, the submitted manuscripts are, in this way, verified in relation to their originality with a software to detect plagiarism before proceeding to the peer review.

Author's responsibilities

When submitting a manuscript to the *Revista Eletrônica Científica da UERGS*, the author declares that the submitted text is not being considered - or it does not have been accepted - for publication.

It should be noted that the liberation to send it to other journals is given expressly at the moment when the manuscript is rejected.

The author is responsible for providing the editor with a copy of any submitted manuscript and that could contain superimposed or strictly related content.

Him/her should mention the register and approval from the Ethics Committee (EC) when the projects comprehend methodologies that involve any types of interaction with human beings. In this case should be considered the Resolution n. 466 of December 12nd, 2012 from the National Health Council; Resolution CNS 240/1997; Resolution CNS 370/2007; Procedures Standard 006/2009 from the National Health Council; Resolution CNS 510/2016 on applicable standards in researches from Human and Social Sciences.

It is expected from the authors to send a notification to the journal editors in the case of identifying any mistakes in his/her text to, if necessary, publish an erratum, a disclaimer or a concern manifestation.

The authors' names and their institutional relations, the acknowledgements, funding information or any other references that may identify them should be removed from the manuscript version sent to evaluation. This procedure aims to preserve double-blind review.

Authors who submit manuscripts to evaluation could also be invited to volunteer or participate in the process of peer review of the *Revista Eletrônica Científica da UERGS*.

Editor and Editorial Commission responsibilities

The editor is responsible for the initial evaluation of the manuscript independently of the geographical origin of the author, political-ideological position, religious belief, gender and racial/ethnic origin. After the positive initial evaluation the manuscript is sent to the peer blind review.

Based on the standards of ethical conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics - COPE, the Editorial Commission of the *Revista Eletrônica Científica da UERGS* will publish, whenever necessary, errata, retreatments and concern manifestations about the published material.

Ethical infractions will be judged by the journal Editorial Commission and, if needed, by the members of the Editorial Council. Ethical infraction reports may be communicated by any person at any time through the email revista@uergs.edu.br.

Editors and the Editorial Council are responsible for preserving the ethical aspects of the *Revista Eletrônica Científica da UERGS* and should resolve any conflicts transparently, always aiming to maintain the journal credibility.

Evaluator responsibility

The responsibilities of who evaluates texts for the journal are:

- a) to maintain the confidentiality of any information provided by the editor or the author and to not retain or copy contents from the manuscript;
- b) to alert the editorial team about any published content or that are sent to publication that may be substantially similar to the one under review and without the adequate citation or reference;

- c) to be aware of any potential conflicts of interest (whether it is financial, institutional, collaborative or others between the evaluator and the author);
- d) to ensure that there are no forms of personal identification in the document to be evaluated.

Conducts in cases of suspected ethical infraction

In cases of suspected ethical infractions, any member of the academic community (authors, readers, reviewers, evaluators, referees, members of the editorial council) or the public in general should write a report through the email revista@uergs.edu.br to the *Revista Eletrônica Científica da UERGS*.

Ethical infractions are related to doubled publication; plagiarism; data fabrication; authorship related issues (moving, ghost writer etc.); non mentioned conflicts of interest; illicit appropriation of ideas or data by a referee and other ethical questions related to the research (e.g. involving people).

Editors will follow the flux of conflict resolution of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) in order to investigate and solve the issues. The flows used by the *Revista Eletrônica Científica da UERGS* are available in the [COPE](#) site and explained in the [video](#).